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Proposition 12 is a constitutional amendment proposed by the Texas Senate. It provides $5 billion in general issuance bonds to provide for highway improvement.
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Second Authorization
Specific Program Areas

- 25 Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) $600 Million
- Statewide Connectivity $200 Million
- Bridges $500 Million
- TxDOT's 25 Districts $1.4 Billion
- Metro Regions $300 Million
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May, June and July of 2012 & 2013 Let at approx 50% ea year.
Where to go with these funds?

Working with Michael Reeves …….

- Prioritized list of 39 projects
- Totaling $1.80 billion
- Priorities to expand two lane to four lane for safety
- Relief Routes to spur economic growth
Ports to Plains

Six Districts Along the Corridor
### Ports to Plains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>FY 2012</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abilene</td>
<td>$38,735</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$38,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amarillo</td>
<td>$57,088</td>
<td>$24,202</td>
<td>$22,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>$27,339</td>
<td>$21,861</td>
<td>$6,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>$91,322</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$91,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaumont</td>
<td>$44,840</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$44,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownwood</td>
<td>$17,786</td>
<td>$14,453</td>
<td>$3,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan</td>
<td>$39,453</td>
<td>$22,154</td>
<td>$17,299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childress</td>
<td>$22,721</td>
<td>$22,721</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi</td>
<td>$84,852</td>
<td>$13,811</td>
<td>$51,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas/Fort Worth</td>
<td>$236,174</td>
<td>$162,050</td>
<td>$73,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>$37,124</td>
<td>$11,924</td>
<td>$26,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>$103,085</td>
<td>$135,220</td>
<td>$28,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laredo</td>
<td>$38,100</td>
<td>$9,034</td>
<td>$29,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubbock</td>
<td>$73,400</td>
<td>$30,800</td>
<td>$42,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lufkin</td>
<td>$33,547</td>
<td>$23,400</td>
<td>$10,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odessa</td>
<td>$34,452</td>
<td>$25,894</td>
<td>$8,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>$61,807</td>
<td>$28,831</td>
<td>$32,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharr</td>
<td>$58,024</td>
<td>$40,906</td>
<td>$17,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Angelo</td>
<td>$17,401</td>
<td>$17,401</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>$93,652</td>
<td>$48,868</td>
<td>$44,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyler</td>
<td>$32,550</td>
<td>$14,522</td>
<td>$18,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waco</td>
<td>$51,036</td>
<td>$24,950</td>
<td>$26,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita Falls</td>
<td>$23,988</td>
<td>$13,347</td>
<td>$10,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoakum</td>
<td>$40,886</td>
<td>$20,054</td>
<td>$11,831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**District Subtotal:** $1,400,000 | $745,845 | $654,155
Partnership

How can we match the Ports to Plains desires and meet the expectations of the taxpayers
Develop a plan

- Take care of gaps
- Safety
  - Super 2 in lieu of 4-lane divided
  - Most bang for the buck
- Mobility and Economic Opportunities
  - Relief routes
- Plan for the future
- All to be let within two years
Ports to Plains

- Existing 2 lane (GAP)
- Mobility
- Safety Issue
- Economic Opportunity
Ports to Plains

Existing 2 lane / Safety Issue

Economic Opportunity / Mobility / Safety
Ports to Plains

Existing 2 lane Mobility / Safety
Let under separate program
Safety Issue Significant Truck Traffic
Partnerships

$ $$$ per District ≠ Needs
Ports to Plains Sharing Plan
Public Involvement

- PTP and DEs actively solicited input for project selection.
- MPOs, RPOs, and COGs.
- Elected officials.
- General public.
- Statewide public meeting on September 1st by video teleconference.
- Statewide public meeting on September 20th.
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Ultimately – The Plan

**Amarillo Project** – $61.86M –
- US87 – From Moore County Line to US 385, Super 2, $12.1M
- US87 - From Dumas to Hartley County Line, Super 2, $10.6M
- US54 – Dalham County, Super 2, $6.7M
- SH23 – Lipscomb County, Rehab and add lanes, $4.8M
- Loop 335 – Randall and Potter Counties, 2 lane to 4 lane divided, $22.89 M + $4.77 (AMA-MPO) + $4.6 M (LBB) = $32.26 M Total

**Lubbock Project** - $81.60M
- US87 at Woodrow Road, OP, $9 M
- IH27 – Plainview Frontage Road Conversion and OP, $17 M
- LP289 – Quaker Erskine OP, $14.8 M + $8.2 (MPO) = $22 M
- SH349, Martin County Line to Lamesa, Super 2, $6.5M (ODA) + $4M
- Move $25 M to Big Spring Reliever Route
- Move $4.6 M to Amarillo

**Abilene Projects** - $42.380M
- US87 – SW Reliever Route at Big Spring, 4 lane, $38.7M +$25M(LBB) = $63.7M
- City of Abilene – MPO allocation, TBD, $3.7M

**Odessa Project** – $40.742
- US349 – 2 lane to 4 lane, Reliever Route to SH 176, $16.5 M
- US349 – Super 2 to 4 lane, SH 176 to Dawson Co, $5.5M(MPO) + $1.9 (Federal Earmark) = $7.4 M
- SH115 – Add left turn and Widen Road in Andrews, $1.4M
- IH20 - Ward Co, Ramps, $1.0 M
- IH20 – Major Rehab, $11 M remainder
- Midland Odessa MPO – TBD, $2.3M
- Move $6.5M to SH349, Dawson County

**San Angelo** - $23.396M
- US277 and US67 – Overpass,$4M
- FM388 – San Angelo, MPO Allocation, $2M
- US277 - US 87 to Christoval, Super 2, Super 2, $2.5 M
- US277 - Christoval to Schleicher Co Line, Super 2, $5.3 M
- US277 - Schleicher Co Line to Eldorado, Super 2, $4.2 M
- US277 - Eldorado to Sutton Co Line, Super 2, $2.5 M
- US277 - Sutton Co Line to Sonora, Super 2, $2.8 M

**Laredo District** - $42.401
- US277 - Maverick Co and Dimmitt Co, Carrizo Springs to Eagle Pass, Super 2, $12.5 M
- US83 – Dimmitt Co and Webb Co, Ih35 to Asherton, Super 2, $16.6M
- LP20/Cuatro Vientos – Webb Co, Celito Lindo to Mangana Hein Rd upgrade to 4 lane divided highway in Laredo, $4.301M
- FM1472 – Webb Co, Inter-America to Pan America Blvd, Rehab, $9.0M

$292 Million

$230 million on PTP
Summary

- $230 Million dedicated to the PTP corridor
- Almost 250 miles of roadway
- Passing opportunity at least every 4 miles from IH10 to the Oklahoma/New Mexico state line

**Major accomplishment**
for Ports to Plains, TxDOT and most importantly
the people of Texas
Special Thanks
Questions and Comments